



**UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION 8**

1595 Wynkoop Street
DENVER, CO 80202-1129
Phone 800-227-8917
<http://www.epa.gov/region08>


1259902 - R8 SDMS

February 1, 2012

Ms. Linda Luther
P.O. Box 127
Silverton, CO 81433

RE: Upper Cement Creek, CO

Dear Ms Luther:

Thank you for your October 24, 2011 letter regarding Upper Cement Creek. We understand that you would like to see the watershed addressed by local citizens.

We have heard from a number of citizens and other stakeholders who have voiced interest in working collaboratively to address water quality in Upper Cement Creek. We'd like to assure you that the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has not made a decision concerning listing of the Upper Cement Creek area. The decision to propose a site for the National Priorities List (NPL) can only be made after a technical evaluation is completed to determine if the site is eligible. The EPA is just completing this technical evaluation. In addition, both the State and the EPA seek community support prior to a site being proposed for listing and the EPA wants the community to understand why we are looking at this option.

More than 15 years ago the EPA committed to a community-based environmental protection effort in San Juan County, and indicated that Superfund would not be used as long as progress was being made in improving water quality in the Animas River. Unfortunately, water quality has significantly degraded in the Animas River in the last five years. It is evident that historic mine waste in Cement Creek, a tributary of the Animas River, is having a negative impact on the Animas. These impacts are likely related to cessation of water treatment in Gladstone and plugging of the American Tunnel. The EPA has compared the last five years of data to earlier data sets that indicated improvements in water quality. The Animas River Stakeholder Group (ARSG) has summarized some of these data and the EPA is also evaluating these data. If experience in other mining impacted areas is any guide, the resources required for solutions to this sort of problem will be substantial, and long-term operation and maintenance of the solution or solutions may be required.

EPA is working with other federal agencies, the State, and local community members to identify options to reverse the degradation and improve water quality in the Animas River. It is too soon to make conclusions about how best to correct this condition. However, if the best solutions require substantial and long-term resources, CERCLA process and the NPL may be the only means to assure that full

resources available to the EPA can be committed. EPA is also limited in its ability to fund interim actions and long-term projects that require ongoing operations and maintenance.

The EPA looks forward to continuing the dialogue with you and other community members regarding possible options to address water quality issues in Upper Cement Creek. If you would like to discuss this further, please contact me at 303-312-6607.

Sincerely,

Michael Holmes
Project Manager

October 24, 2011

Linda Luther
P O Box 127
Silverton, CO 81433

Editor, Silverton Standard,

As a lifelong resident of Silverton, I strongly believe we should pursue local solutions for local issues. The proposed Superfund designation of the Gladstone area would mean a loss of local control, as local residents who know and live in the area would have to follow key decisions made by a bureaucratic federal agency. We have local groups who are fully committed to finding cooperative solutions.

Superfund is an inefficient, time consuming and expensive process. The designation may expand to a larger area over a longer period of time as it did in the Coeur d'Alene Basin in Idaho.

I believe it is the wrong approach to deal with this issue. Keep it local and solutions to improve water quality will come.

Sincerely,



Linda Luther

CC: Sabrina Forrest
Site Assessment Manager
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1595 Wynkoop Street
Denver, CO 80202-1129